

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,459	01/24/2005	Thomas Rex Haskell	VAJP-40008	5574
PYLE & PION	7590 04/07/200 JTEK	8	EXAM	UNER
ROOM 2036			PATEL, TAJASH D	
221 N LASAL CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
cinerios, i	. 00001		3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/522,459 HASKELL, THOMAS REX

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tejash D. Patel	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	dress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SSI, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the soft or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/06	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/522,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent of 2.0 a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(e) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 13-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gathings (US 6,907,619). Gathings discloses an energy absorbing/spreading garment including an inner layer and an outer layer with a plurality of arcuate panels/column (16) housed therebetween and symmetrical arranged with respect to center axis of the garment such that each of the plurality of panels are arranged to overlap at least one adjacent panel in a sliding relationship as shown in figures 3 and 4. Further, a plurality of channels includes at least one of the plurality of arcuate panels as shown in figure 3. Each of the plurality of panels defines first and second sides, higher and middle portions with side and middle portions that are adjacent to one another as shown in figure 4. Furthermore, each of the plurality arcuate panels is energy absorbent and is made of resilient deformable material, col. 3, line 66- col. 4, line 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/522,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-12, 16-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Gathings. With regard to claims 16-19 it would have been obvious that the

plurality of arcuate panels of Gathings which are slidable can be connected by known fastening

means such as a slotted track and screws, etc since such devices are considered equivalent in the

art or depending on the end use thereof. Further, with regard to claims 21-24, it would have been

obvious that the garment of Gathings made of synthetic fabric which dissipated heat, col. 3, lines

44-65 and col. 4, lines 1-8 can be made of man made stretch material so that the device is

comfortable when worn for extended period of time.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The

examiner's supervisor Mr. Gary Welch can be reached at (571) 272-4996. The fax phone

number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

March 29, 2008

/Teiash Patel/

Tejash Patel Primary Examiner